## SANDY RIDGE Compliance Report No. 1 EPBC 2015/7478

Prepared for Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment

Tellus Holdings Ltd August 2020

# TELLUS



Prepared by

**Tellus Holdings Ltd** Suite 2, Level 10, 151 Castlereagh Street, Sydney, NSW 200, Australia T +61 2 8957 3395 <u>www.tellusholdings.com</u> ABN 97 138 119 829

Tellus is certified to AS/NZS ISO 9001, AS/NZS ISO 14001 and AS/NZS 4801.

© Tellus Holdings Ltd (Tellus). All rights reserved.

Tellus has prepared this document for the sole use of the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment and for a specific purpose, each as expressly stated in the document. No other party should rely on this document without the prior written consent of Tellus. Tellus undertakes no duty, nor accepts any responsibility, to any third party who may rely upon or use this document. This document has been prepared based on the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment's description of its requirements and Tellus' experience, having regard to assumptions that Tellus can reasonably be expected to make in accordance with sound professional principles. Tellus may also have relied upon information provided by third parties to prepare this document, some of which may not have been verified. Subject to the above conditions, this document may be transmitted, reproduced or disseminated only in its entirety.



This page has been left blank intentionally.



### **QUALITY INFORMATION**

Document:	Sandy Ridge Compliance Report No.1 – 2019/2020	
Status:	Final	
Prepared for:	Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment	
Ref:	GRACE-552978189-22919	
Date:	31 August 2020	
Prepared by:	Prepared by: Nick Ballard – Environmental Compliance Manager	
Reviewed by:	Julie Mahony – Environment Manager Sandy Ridge	
	Richard Phillips – General Manager Approvals & External Affairs	
Approved by:	Michael Ingram – Chief Operating Officer	

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Details	Version	Date
First Published	0	31 /08/2020



This page has been left blank intentionally.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABBF	REVIATIONS .	
DEC	LARATION	OF ACCURACY
EXEC		MARY
1	INTRODUCT	гіол1-1
	1.1	Background
	1.2	Purpose and scope1-2
	1.3	Report methodology1-2
	1.4	Retention of compliance reports1-2
	1.5	Public availability of reports1-2
	1.6	New environmental risks1-2
	1.7	Format of the report1-3
2	IMPLEMEN <sup>®</sup>	TATION STATUS
	2.1	Pre-construction
	2.2	Construction
	2.3	Operations
	2.4	Decommissioning2-2
3	DETAILS OF	F FINDINGS
	3.1	Environmental management plans3-5
4	LIMITATION	NS OF THIS REPORT
5	REFERENCE	ES
	5.1	Supporting, verifying information, documentation5-1
	5.2	External references5-1

#### List of Tables

Table 2-1 – Approvals update	
Table 2-2 – Construction update	
Table 2-3 – Operations update	
Table 3-1 – Compliance status terms	
Table 3-2 – Overall compliance assessment of EPBC 2015/7478	
Table 3-3 – Summary of EPBC 2015/7478 non-compliant conditions	
Table 3-4 – Submitted and approved management plans	
List of Figures	
Figure 1-1 Sandy Ridge Facility Regional Location.	1-6

#### **Appendices**

Appendix A – EPBC 2015/7478 Audit Table

#### EPBC 2015/7478 Sandy Ridge Compliance Report No.1 – 2019 / 2020

### ABBREVIATIONS

**TELLUS** 

Cth	Commonwealth
DAWE	Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment
DoEE	Department of the Environment and Energy now DAWE
DGMMP	Deep Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan
DWER	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation
EPBC Act	Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999
EPBC 2015/7478	EPBC Approval dated 7 January 2019
EP Act	Environmental Protection Act 1986
GMB	Groundwater Monitoring Bore
GME	Groundwater Monitoring Event
IBC	Intermediate Bulk Container
km	Kilometres
LOR	Limit of Reporting
LMMP	Leachate Monitoring and Management Plan
LLW	Low-Level Radioactive Waste
mbgl	Metres below ground level
Mining Act	Mining Act 1978
MS 1078	Ministerial Statement 1078
NEPM	National Environment Protection Measure
NORM	Naturally-Occurring Radioactive Material
RS Act	Radiation Safety Act 1975
Tellus	Tellus Holdings Limited
the Company	Tellus Holdings Limited
the Department	Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment
the Facility	The Sandy Ridge Facility
tpa	Tonnes per annum
WA	Western Australia

### **DECLARATION OF ACCURACY**

Sandy Ridge Facility
Tellus Holdings Limited
2015/7478
Construct and operate an open-cut kaolin clay mine, arid near surface geological waste repository with the mine voids, and associated infrastructure for the storage, treatment, recovery and permanent isolation (disposal) of hazardous and intractable waste (including low level radioactive wastes), approximately 75 km north-east of Koolyanobbing in the Shire of Coolgardie, Western Australia [As described in EPBC referral 2015/7478 subject to the variations of the action accepted by the Minister under section 156B on Friday, 22 December 2017 and Friday, 9 November 2018].
7 July 2019 to 6 July 2020

#### Declaration of Accuracy

In making this declaration, I am aware that sections 490 and 491 of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (Cth) (EPBC Act) make it an offence in certain circumstances to knowingly provide false or misleading information or documents. The offence is punishable on conviction by imprisonment or a fine, or both. I declare that all the information and documentation supporting this compliance report is true and correct in every particular. I am authorised to bind the approval holder to this declaration and that I have no knowledge of that authorisation being revoked at the time of making this declaration.

Signature of Authorised Reporting Officer	
Name of Authorised Reporting Officer	Michael Ingram
Position of Authorised Reporting Officer	Chief Operating Officer
Organisation Name	Tellus Holdings Limited
Organisation ACN	138 119 829
Organisation ABN	97 138 119 829

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In accordance with the requirements of Part 9 of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) the Australian Government granted approval to Tellus Holdings Ltd (Tellus) to construct and operate an open-cut kaolin clay mine, arid near-surface geological waste repository within the mine voids, and associated infrastructure on 7 January 2019. The approval (ref: EPBC 2015/7478) allows for the treatment, recovery and permanent isolation (disposal) of hazardous and intractable wastes (including low level radioactive wastes). The Sandy Ridge Facility (the Facility), is located approximately 75 kilometres northeast of Koolyanobbing in the Shire of Coolgardie, Western Australia.

This report has been prepared in accordance with Part B, Condition 9 of EPBC 2015/7478 that requires a Compliance Report to be prepared for each 12-month period following the date of commencement of the action, or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Minister. This is the first Compliance Report to be submitted against the requirements of EPBC 2015/7478 and has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the *Annual Compliance Report Guidelines* (Commonwealth of Australia, 2014). The reporting period for this Compliance Report has been defined as from 7 July 2019 (date of commencement of the action) to 6 July 2020 (12 months from date of commencement of the action).

During the reporting period the Facility was under construction. The first waste was accepted into approved temporary waste storage on 6 July 2020, the last day of this reporting period. Commissioning of Facility infrastructure and handover from the Principal Contractor to Tellus is scheduled to occur during the period of August to September 2020. Operational activities under the control of Tellus are scheduled to commence in late September 2020.

Tellus's overall compliance status with EPBC 2015/7478 for the reporting period is summarised in Table ES-1.

Table ES-1 – Overall compliance status with EPBC 2015/7478

Number of Conditions Compliant	Number of Conditions Non-compliant	Number of Conditions Not Applicable
7	1	7

Tellus identified one non-compliant condition with EPBC 2015/7478 during the reporting period. The noncompliance was associated with the requirements of Part A, Condition 1 of EPBC 2015/7478 which requires that Tellus must comply with Conditions 1 and 9 of the Western Australia Government Ministerial Approval 1078.

The non-compliance concerned the unauthorised clearing of an area of 43 square metres (m<sup>2</sup>) of vegetation outside of the approved development envelope. Tellus provided details of the unauthorised clearing to the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE, formerly the Department of the Environment and Energy (DoEE)) on 19 August 2019 [04a] noting that the non-compliance did not involve matters of national environmental significance as listed under the *EPBC Act* and associated Regulations.

DAWE responded to Tellus on 2 September 2019 acknowledging that the Western Australian (WA) Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) had been advised of the non-compliance. No further communication was received from DAWE concerning the non-compliance. DWER formally notified Tellus that a non-compliance with Condition 1 of MS 1078 had occurred in a letter dated 16 September 2019. DWER noted that it had reviewed the corrective actions implemented by Tellus to address the noncompliance and considered the issue as being satisfactorily managed. Tellus also notified the WA Department of Mines, Industry Safety and Regulation concerning the non-compliance.

During the reporting period Tellus identified that it was compliant with Condition 9 of Ministerial Approval 1078.



#### EPBC 2015/7478 Sandy Ridge Compliance Report No.1 – 2019 / 2020

Part A, Condition 2 of EPBC 2015/7478 requires Tellus to develop and implement a Deep Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan (DGMMP). Given the DGMMP was approved by DAWE on 29 May 2020, full implementation of the plan was not assessed for the reporting period. It is noted that Tellus did conduct groundwater monitoring events during the reporting period as required by the DGMMP. Data interpretation and initial generation of site-based trigger and threshold criteria for shallow groundwater monitoring is scheduled to occur in September 2020. In-ground emplacement of waste is scheduled to occur at the Facility in December 2020. Monitoring of deep groundwater wells as required by the DGMMP is scheduled to follow in-ground emplacement of waste.

A summary of the status of all conditions of EPBC 2015/7478 is outlined within the Compliance Assessment Audit Table (Table A-1) presented in **Appendix A**.

#### EPBC 2015/7478 Sandy Ridge Compliance Report No.1 – 2019 / 2020

### **TELLUS**

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

This Compliance Report has been prepared to document compliance with the Australian Government's Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE or the Department) approval EPBC 2015/7478 issued in accordance with Part 9 of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

The approval allows Tellus Holdings Limited (Tellus or the Company) to construct and operate a dual open cut kaolin clay mine and arid near-surface geological waste repository known as the Sandy Ridge Facility (the Facility).

The Facility is licenced to accept Class IV and Class V waste and is located approximately 75 kilometres (km) north east of Koolyanobbing, Western Australia (WA).

### 1.1 Background

In 2015 Tellus submitted a referral to the WA government construct and operate an open-cut kaolin (clay) mine and complementary near-surface geological waste repository, accepting Class IV (Secure Landfill) and Class V (Intractable Landfill) waste, including waste from interstate, Australia's Exclusive Economic Zone.

The Facility was granted WA government Ministerial Approval on 26 June 2018 (Ministerial Statement 1078).

Tellus has approval to mine kaolin under the *Mining Act 1978* (Mining Act) and store hazardous and intractable chemical and low-level radioactive waste materials under the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). The Facility has an existing site Registration for the temporary storage of Low-Level Radioactive Waste (LLW). This was granted in October 2019 under the WA *Radiation Safety Act 1975* (RS Act). It currently seeks an amendment to the site Registration to permanently isolate LLW under the RS Act.

Up to 290,000 tonnes per annum (tpa) of kaolin clay will be mined and the mining voids will be used for the permanent isolation of wastes, including hazardous and intractable wastes, and LLW. The Facility will receive up to 100,000 tpa of Class IV and Class V waste for approximately 25 years. Once commissioned the Facility consists of:

- Mine infrastructure, including stockpile area, storage building, laboratory, mining offices and laydown yard.
- Waste infrastructure including an inflatable dome waste cell cover, container hardstand, waste inspection area, waste immobilisation plant, radioactive waste warehouse and package building, and waste laboratory.
- Other infrastructure including an accommodation camp, access roads, water pipelines, and a putrescible landfill. The putrescible landfill services the accommodation camp and office. Only wastes generated at the Facility will be disposed in this landfill.

A Regional Location plan is presented as Figure 1-1 at the end of this Section.



### 1.2 **Purpose and scope**

This Compliance Report is submitted in accordance with the requirements set out in Part B, Condition 9 of EPBC 2015/7478, which requires the following:

Condition 9 – Annual compliance reporting

The approval holder must prepare a compliance report for each 12 month period following the date of commencement of the action, or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Minister. The approval must:

- *a)* Publish each compliance report on the website with 60 business days following the relevant 12 month period;
- *b)* Notify the Department by email that a compliance report has been published on the website within five business days of the date of publication;
- c) Keep all compliance reports publicly available on the website until this approval expires;
- d) Exclude or redact sensitive ecological data from compliance reports published on the website; and
- *e)* Where any sensitive ecological data has been excluded from the version published, submit the full compliance report to the Department with 5 business days of publication.

The reporting period for this Compliance Report has been defined as from 7 July 2019 (date of commencement of the action) to 6 July 2020 (12 months from date of commencement of the action) and is based on Tellus' assessment of compliance with the conditions of EPBC 2015/7478.

### 1.3 Report methodology

This Compliance Report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the *Annual Compliance Report Guidelines* (Commonwealth of Australia, 2014).

### 1.4 Retention of compliance reports

Tellus will retain Compliance Reports for the life of the approval in accordance with Part B, Condition 9-c of EPBC 2015/7478 and will continue to implement the proposal until the Minister has determined all conditions have been satisfactorily addressed.

### 1.5 Public availability of reports

Tellus will make this Compliance Report publicly available in accordance with Part B, Conditions 9-a and 9-c of EPBC 2015/7478.

In accordance with Part B, Condition 9-d of EPBC 2015/7478 Tellus will exclude or redact any sensitive ecological data from Compliance Reports published on the website. Where sensitive ecological data has been excluded or redacted, Tellus will, in accordance with Part B, Condition 9-e of EPBC 2015/7478 submit the full report to the Department within five business days of publication.

No sensitive ecological data has been excluded or redacted from this Compliance Report.

### 1.6 New environmental risks

No new environmental risks were identified during the reporting period.

### 1.7 Format of the report

The format of this Compliance Report is as follows:

- Authorised Reporting Officer's endorsement, including Tellus' declaration of accuracy.
- Executive Summary.
- Section 1 is an introduction and provides the scope and nature of the audit.
- Section 2 briefly describes the implementation status of the Project during the reporting period.
- Section 3 summarises the compliance issues identified and provides corrective and preventative measures to improve the environmental performance at the Facility.
- Section 4 provides the limitations of the report.
- Section 5 provides references used in this Compliance Report.

**Appendix A** presents the Audit Table, a tabulated review of the audit results against the requirements of EPBC 2015/7478.

This Compliance Report provides a summary of findings including details of non-compliances identified during the audit and recommended actions to improve compliance status.





### **2 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS**

Table 2-1 summarises the status of project approvals secured during the reporting period.

Table 2-1 – Approvals update

Approvals	Start	Finish
Major approvals, permits and licences from the Australian, WA and Local Government required to temporarily store waste on-site	-	Complete
Site Registration - Controlled Waste Facility No. 39106650	-	Complete
W6305/2019/1 - Works Approval #2 - to authorise the construction of the temporary waste storage area.	20/12/2019	19/12/2022
W6308/2019/1 - Works Approval #3 - to authorise the construction of the main processing and treatment infrastructure of the Facility.	07/02/2020	06/02/2023
Operating Licence - Surface storage licence (Cat. 61 liquid waste and 61A solid waste activities) - L9240/2020/1	29/06/2020	28/06/2040

Registration R2498/2019/1 was granted in November 2019 for the operation of the wastewater treatment plant, and registration R2501/2020/1 was granted in February 2020 for the premises domestic putrescible landfill.

### 2.1 Pre-construction

Pre-construction activities were completed prior to the first reporting period.

### 2.2 Construction

Table 2-2 summarises the status of construction activities during the reporting period. Stage 2A and Stage 2B construction works include engineering, procurement, construction, commissioning and performance testing of Facility infrastructure.

Table 2-2 – Construction update

Activities	Start	Finish
Stage 1 Enabling works and procurement of long-lead items	7 July 2019	Complete
Stage 2A Permanent village and 3,000 t East Yard temporary surface storage facility construction	15 September 2019	Complete
Stage 2B Air dome construction	-	Complete
Stage 2B Balance of works (incl. mining first cell) with 100,000 tpa capacity (Works Approval - W6308/2019/1)	-	Late September 2020



### 2.3 **Operations**

Table 2-3 summarises the status of operational activities during the reporting period.

Table 2-3 – Operations update

Activities	Start	Finish
Solid hazardous waste (NEPM <sup>1</sup> 75) and NORM (LLW) temporary surface storage (WA only)	6 July 2020	25 years
Solid and liquid hazardous waste (NEPM 75) and NORM (LLW) temporary surface storage (Australia wide)	July / August 2020	25 years

### 2.4 Decommissioning

No decommissioning activities were conducted during the reporting period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Environment Protection Measure

### **3 DETAILS OF FINDINGS**

Table 3-1 provides a summary of the performance categories in respect to the compliance status for each requirement of EPBC 2015/7478 as defined in *Annual Compliance Report Guidelines* (Commonwealth of Australia, 2014, p.9).

Table 3-1 – Compliance status terms

Compliance Status Term	Definition
Compliant	'Compliance' is achieved when all the requirements of a condition have been met, including the implementation of management plans or other measures required by those conditions.
Non-compliant	A designation of 'non-compliance' has been given where the requirements of a condition or elements of a condition, including the implementation of management plans and other measures, have not been met.
Not Applicable	A designation of 'not applicable' has been given where the requirements of a condition or elements of a condition fall outside of the scope of the current reporting period. For example, a condition which applies to an activity that has not yet commenced.

The overall status of compliance with the Conditions of EPBC 2015/7478 for the reporting period is summarised in Table 3-2.

Requirements considered non-compliant, or not applicable, have been consolidated and are summarised in Table 3-3. The table includes a discussion of the compliance status and corrective and preventative actions for improvement where appropriate.

Tellus has provided comments next to each requirement to explain evidence relevant to each requirement. Where considered relevant, observations have been made regarding specific compliance issues.

#### Table 3-2 – Overall compliance assessment of EPBC 2015/7478

Number of Conditions Compliant	Number of Conditions Non-compliant	Number of Conditions Not Applicable
7	1	7

#### Table 3-3 – Summary of EPBC 2015/7478 non-compliant conditions

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Comments																
A.1	To manage the impacts of the action on the environment, the approval holder must comply with conditions 1 and 9 attached to the WA approval to the extent those conditions apply to the taking of the action specified in this approval.	Non-compliant	One non-compliance with Condition 1 of Ministerial Statement 1078 (MS 1078) occurred reporting period of 27 June 2019 to 26 June 2020. The non-compliance occurred on 19 July 2019 and concerned the unauthorised clearing of $43m^2$ of vegetation outside of the approved development envelope. Tellus provided details of the unauthorised clearing to DAWE (formerly DoEE) on 19 August 2019 [04a] noting that the non-compliance did not involve Matters of National Environmental Significance as listed under the <i>Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> and associated Regulations. DAWE responded to Tellus on 2 September 2019 [04b] acknowledging that the Western Australian Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) had been advised of the non-compliance and that that " <i>incident did not result in any impacts to Matters of National Environmental Significance</i> ". No further correspondence was received from DAWE concerning the non-compliance.																
																			DWER was first notified of the non-compliance on 2 August 2019 when Tellus became aware of the non-compliance [14]. Tellus also provided further details of the unauthorised clearing to DWER on the 6 August 2019 [05] and 15 August 2019 [03] in accordance with the Compliance Assessment Plan for MS 1078. The formal notice of non-compliance submitted by Tellus to DWER on 15 August 2019 included details of the corrective actions that had been implemented and were planned. The formal notice of non-compliance submitted by Tellus to DWER on 15 August 2019 included details of the corrective actions that had been implemented.
		DWER provided formal acknowledgement to Tellus of the non-compliance with Condition 1-1 of MS 1078 in a letter dated 16 September 2019 [01]. In their letter DWER noted that it had reviewed the corrective actions implemented by Tellus to address the non-compliance and considered the issue as being satisfactorily managed. The non-compliance was also reported in the DWER Desktop Audit Report [02] of the annual MS 1078 Compliance Assessment Report.																	
			Rehabilitation of the impacted area occurred at the end of November 2019 as shown in Appendix D, Plate 1 of the MS 1078 Compliance Assessment Report No.2 2019/2020.																



### 3.1 Environmental management plans

Table 3-4 summarises the management plans that were submitted to the Minister and their approval status during the reporting period.

Table 3-4 – Submitted and approved management plans

Condition No.	Management Plan	Date Prepared / Revised	Approval Date
A.2.1	Deep Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan, V1	15 May 2020	29 May 2020

Implementation of the above referenced plan is discussed in Appendix A.

### **4 LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT**

This report has been prepared by Tellus Holdings Ltd (Tellus) based on generally accepted practices and standards and information (including site conditions) available/present when it was prepared (between 7 July 2020 and 31 August 2020).

No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this Report. This report was prepared in accordance with the purpose outlined in EPBC 2015/7478, dated 7 January 2019. No responsibility is accepted for use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purpose or by third parties. Where this report indicates that information has been provided to Tellus by third parties, Tellus has made no independent verification of this information except as expressly stated in the report. Tellus assumes no liability for any inaccuracies in or omissions to that information.

This Report should be read in full and does not give legal advice. Except as required by law, no third party may use or rely on this report unless otherwise agreed by Tellus in writing. To the extent permitted by law, Tellus expressly disclaims and excludes liability for any loss, damage, cost or expenses suffered by any third party relating to or resulting from the use of, or reliance on, any information contained in this report.

### **5 REFERENCES**

### 5.1 Supporting, verifying information, documentation

Name	Document Type
[01] DWER Notice of Non-compliance MS 1078 2019 09 16.pdf	Letter
[02] DWER CAR 2018-2019 Desktop Audit Report 2020 10 24.pdf	Report
[03] Tellus Report of NCR Clearing 2019 08 15.pdf	Letter
[04a] Tellus Non Conformance Notification Email 2019 08 19.pdf	Letter
[04b] DAWE Non Conformance Response Email 2019 09 02.pdf	Letter
[05] Tellus Transmittal SRDP001-000112 MS1078 Clearing 2019 08 06.pdf	Transmittal
[06] Tellus Deep Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan 2020 05 15.pdf	Management Plan
[07] DAWE DGMMP V0 Approval Letter 2020 05 29.pdf	Letter
[08] Tellus FVMP Key Aspects Checklist 2020 05 08.pdf	Form
[09] Tellus Leachate Monitoring and Management Plan (1).pdf	Management Plan
[10] DWER Leachate Monitoring Management Plan Approval.pdf	Letter
[11a] Tellus Commencement of the Action Notification 2019 07 18.msg	Email
[11b] DAWE Acceptance of Commencement of the Action Notification 2019 07 181.msg	Email
[12] DAWE Commencement of the Action Acknowledgement 2019 08 20.pdf	Letter
[13] Environmental Management System Certificate.pdf	Certificate

### 5.2 External references

A Commonwealth of Australia. 2014. <u>Annual Compliance Report Guidelines</u>.



Appendix A - EPBC 2015/7478 Audit Table

• This Audit Table is a summary of the requirements applying to this Proposal. Refer to the Approval issued for the proposal under Part 9 of the EPBC Act for details/precise wording of audit elements.

Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments
	ns Specific to the Action			
Part A – Conditio	It is Specific to the Action To manage the impacts of the action on the environment, the approval holder must comply with conditions 1 and 9 attached to the WA approval to the extent those conditions apply to the taking of the action specified in this approval.	Non-compliant	<ul> <li>[01] DWER, 2019, Statement 1078 Sandy Ridge Facility Notice of Non-compliance, Letter, Ref: DWERDT190121, 16 September 2019.</li> <li>[02] DWER, 2019, Compliance Audit Report, 24 October 2019.</li> <li>[03] Tellus, Letter, 2019, Clearing of Native Vegetation Outside Development Envelope, Ref: HS00-1760150200-22364, 15 August 2019.</li> <li>[04a] Tellus, Email, Tellus to DAWE, 2019, DoEE Sandy Ridge Facility Non-Conformance Notification Letter, Monday 19 August 2019 @9:10AM.</li> <li>[04b]DAWE, Email DAWE to Tellus, 2019, DoEE Sandy Ridge Facility Non-Conformance Notification Letter, Monday 2 September 2019</li> <li>@12:48PM</li> </ul>	Condition 1 of MS One non-compliant 1078) occurred rep 2019 and concerne outside of the appr activities. Tellus provided det DoEE) on 19 Augus involve Matters of <i>Environmental Pro</i> associated Regulat 2 September 2019 Department of Wa advised of the nom <i>impacts to Matters</i> correspondence w
		MS 1078 Clearing, Transmittal No.: SRDF 000112, 6 August 2019, 03:17:08 PM. [08] Sandy Ridge – Flora and Vegetation Management Plan - Awareness Checklist DOCID-88105952-934, 19 May 2020. [14] Email, Tellus to DWER, 2019, Sandy	<ul> <li>[05] Tellus, Transmittal, Tellus to DWER, 2019, MS 1078 Clearing, Transmittal No.: SRDP001- 000112, 6 August 2019, 03:17:08 PM.</li> <li>[08] Sandy Ridge – Flora and Vegetation Management Plan - Awareness Checklist, Ref: DOCID-88105952-934, 19 May 2020.</li> <li>[14] Email, Tellus to DWER, 2019, Sandy Ridge Facility Potential Non-compliance, Tellus-</li> </ul>	compliance. DWER was first not when Tellus becam details of the clear August 2019 [03] in Assessment Plan for submitted by Tellu corrective actions to DWER provided for with Condition 1-1 In their letter DWE implemented by Te issue as being satis reported in the DW Compliance Assess Rehabilitation of th 2019 and is shown Assessment Report
				Assessment Report Condition 9 of MS The DWER Desktop Assessment Report compliant and Con 9-3 to 9-6 were no their draft annual ( submitted to DWE compliance status Condition 9-1 Condition 9-2 Condition 9-3 Condition 9-3 Condition 9-4 Condition 9-5 Condition 9-6

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Section 5.1 Supporting, verifying information, documentation.

#### EPBC2015/7478

#### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

#### S 1078 - Non-compliant

ance with Condition 1 of Ministerial Statement 1078 (MS eporting period. The non-compliance occurred on 19 July ned the unauthorised clearing of 43m<sup>2</sup> of vegetation proved development envelope during initial construction

details of the unauthorised clearing to DAWE (formerly ust 2019 [04a] noting that the non-compliance did not of National Environmental Significance as listed under the rotection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 and ations. DAWE responded to Tellus on 19 [04b] acknowledging that the Western Australian Vater and Environmental Regulation (DWER) had been on-compliance and that that "incident did not result in any ers of National Environmental Significance". No further was received from DAWE concerning the non-

otified of the potential non-compliance on 2 August 2019 ame aware of the issue [14]. Tellus provided further aring event to DWER on the 6 August 2019 [05] and 15 in accordance with the approved Compliance for MS 1078. A formal notice of non-compliance llus to DWER on 15 August 2019 included details of is that had been implemented and that were planned. formal acknowledgement to Tellus of the non-compliance -1 of MS 1078 in a letter dated 16 September 2019 [01]. VER noted that it had reviewed the corrective actions Tellus to address the non-compliance and considered the tisfactorily managed. The non-compliance was also OWER Desktop Audit Report [02] of the annual MS 1078 essment Report.

the impacted area occurred at the end of November vn in Appendix D, Plate 1 of the MS 1078 Compliance ort No.1 2019/2020.

#### S 1078 - Compliant

op Audit Report [02] of the annual MS 1078 Compliance ort No.1, 2018/2019, identified Condition 9-1 to be ondition 9-2 to be 'Not required at this stage'. Conditions not applicable until Condition 9-2 had been triggered. In al Compliance Assessment Report No. 2, 2019/2020 [to be /ER by 23 September 2020] Tellus identified the us of Condition 9 to be as follows:

- 1 = Compliant.
- 2 = Compliant.
- 3 = Compliant.
- 4 = Not Applicable.
- 5 = Not Applicable.
- 6 = Not Applicable.

EPBC 2015/7478				
Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments
A.2.1	To enable the early detection of any leachate and to protect the <b>environment</b> from impacts from leachate to deep groundwater, the <b>approval holder</b> must submit a deep groundwater monitoring and management plan. The deep groundwater monitoring and management plan must commit the <b>approval holder</b> to undertake monitoring and management of potential impacts to the groundwater within the weathered granite and granite hard rock (bedrock) as specified below. The <b>approval holder</b> must not <b>commence waste receival</b> unless the <b>Minister</b> has approved the deep groundwater monitoring and management plan in writing. If the <b>Minister</b> approves the deep groundwater	Compliant	<ul> <li>[06] Tellus, 2020, Deep Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan, V0, HS00-1760150200- 49244, 15 May 2020.</li> <li>[07] DAWE, Approval Letter, 2020, EPBC 2015/7478: Sandy Ridge Project – Deep Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan, 29 May 2020.</li> </ul>	Preparation Tellus submitted the Plan (DGMMP), date DAWE issued a lette DGMMP [dated 15 M Part A of EPBC 2015]
	monitoring and management plan then the approved deep groundwater monitoring and management plan must be implemented.		Plan, 29 May 2020.	Implementation The first waste was In ground emplacen Monitoring of deep scheduled to follow interpretation and it criteria for shallow g September 2020 [06 Given the DGMMP of the plan did not occ the first cell has bee 2020/2021 reportin Table 2-1 of the DGI • From April 2020 should have con • For shallow gro consecutive sar existing 20 well At the time of prep- monitoring events I further information
				The DGMMP [06, p.: complete chemical a factor at the Facility was originally select and/or a defined gro
A.2.2 A.2.2.a	<ul> <li>The deep groundwater monitoring and management plan must specify:</li> <li>a) monitoring procedures and protocols, including monitoring location points and frequency of monitoring (minimum every six (6) months);</li> </ul>	- Compliant	- [06] / [07]	- Section 2 (Monitorir prior to and during t Appendix G – Groun procedure and proto Section 2.3.3 [06, p.
A.2.2.b	b) mitigation and management measures;	Compliant	[06] / [07]	Table 2-1 [06, p.12 a Section 4.8.3 (Facilit Manager is responsi mitigation/manager

#### EPBC2015/7478

#### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

the Deep Groundwater Monitoring and Management lated 15 May 2020 [06] to the Minister on 15 May 2020.

tter to Tellus dated 29 May 2020 [07] that approved the 15 May 2020 (Rev0)] in accordance with Condition 2(1) of 015/7478.

as accepted into temporary waste storage on 6 July 2020. ement is scheduled to occur in December 2020. ep groundwater wells as required by the DGMMP is ow in-ground emplacement of waste [06, p.xi]. Data d initial generation of site-based trigger and threshold w groundwater monitoring is scheduled to occur in [06, p.xi] in accordance with the approved plan.

IP was approved on 29 May 2020, full implementation of occur during the reporting period and will not occur until een capped which is scheduled to occur after the ting period.

GMMP [06, 19] notes that:

020 deep groundwater well sampling and monitoring commenced until 12 sampling events are achieved. roundwater wells Tellus has committed to 12 samples, where possible from January 2020 from the ells prior to in-ground waste disposal.

eparing this report a total of eight groundwater ts had been conducted. Refer to Condition A.2.3 for on concerning groundwater monitoring events (GMEs).

p.37] notes that "Extracting enough groundwater to al and radionuclide laboratory analysis has been a limiting lity. This has been an unsurprising result given the site ected for having a distinct absence of a groundwater groundwater table or aquifer".

ring) of the DGMMP addressed monitoring conducted ng the reporting period and planned future monitoring. undwater Sampling Procedure, addressed monitoring otocols. The frequency of monitoring was addressed on p.30 and p.33].

12 and P.13] detailed mitigation actions for the DGMMP.

ility Manager) of the DGMMP identified that the Facility nsible for ensuring environmental gement requirements are implemented.

EPBC 2015/7478	EPBC 2015/7478				
Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments	
A.2.2.c	c) an adaptive management framework, including early warning triggers, trigger criteria, monitoring design and methodologies, and trigger management actions;	Compliant	[06] / [07]	Section 2.3.3 [06, p. and analysis based of sufficient groundwa analysis. Table 2-4 [0 and adaptive sampli An adaptive manage DGMMP that referre monitoring framewor adaptive groundwat	
A.2.2.d	d) incident reporting;	Compliant	[06] / [07]	Incident reporting w which noted that an requirements of its No incidents concer period. Tellus is certified to Clause 8.2 of AS/NZ and respond to pote 4801:2001 also requ and Clause 4.5.2 rec maintenance of pro	
A.2.2.e	e) review periods; and	Compliant	[06] / [07]	Review periods were The first review of the the generation of sit screening levels. The DGMMP stated address deep ground than every three year	
A.2.2.f	f) implementation reporting and auditing by a suitably qualified person.	Compliant	[06] / [07]	Preparation - Compl         Auditing of the DGN         DGMMP that stated         the review undertak         near misses with the         revisions of applicab         correspondence with         Implementation – N         Given the DGMMP v         [as specified in the D         period.         At the time of preparation         was received for an         Compliance Report         Condition 2.2.f.	

#### EPBC2015/7478

#### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

, p.28] noted that Tellus will complete adaptive sampling ed on a prioritisation of analytical suites in the event water cannot be extracted for chemical and radionuclide 4 [06, p.29] presented groundwater monitoring scenarios npling and analysis.

agement framework was included as Section 3 of the erred to a two-tiered adaptive leachate management and ework. Figure 3-1 [06, p.47] provided an overview of the water monitoring and trigger actions.

g was addressed in Section 3.2 [06, p.40] of the DGMMP any incidents would be managed in accordance with the its certified management system.

cerning the DGMMP were recorded during the reporting

to AS/NZS 4801:2001 and AS/NZS ISO 14001:2016. NZS ISO 14001:2016 requires a process(es) to prepare for otential emergency situations. Clause 4.4.7 of AS/NZS equires a process(es) for managing emergency situations requires the establishment, implementation and procedures for investigation and responding to incidents.

vere specified in Section 4.3 [06, p.53] of the DGMMP.

of the DGMMP is scheduled for September 2020 following f site specific radiological and chemical groundwater

ted that "At a minimum, this DGMMP will be revised to oundwater monitoring and management aspects no less years" (p.53).

#### mpliant

GMMP was addressed Section 4.3 [06, p.53] of the ted "A suitably qualified third-party consultant will audit taken by the approval holder triggered by incidents or the potential for contamination of groundwater, by cable legislation or industry standards, or in response to with DAWE".

#### - Not Applicable

1P was approved on 29 May 2020, reporting and auditing ne DGMMP] was not triggered during the reporting

eparing this report no written request from the Minister an independent audit of EPBC/2015/7478.

ort No.2, 2020/2021 will report on implementation of

PBC 2015/7478					
Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments	
A.2.3	To be capable of detecting any potential contamination of groundwater, the deep groundwater monitoring and management plan must include parameters collected during at least 12 months of baseline monitoring of groundwater and soil quality undertaken prior to commencing waste receival.	Compliant		<ul> <li>The DGMMP [06, p.2 been taken, they will will be analysed to de the levels of any of th goes onto state that indicate that chemical six sampling events of position to further and and threshold criterial At the time of prepa had been conducted</li> <li>22 groundwater</li> <li>During GMEs ap during the report</li> <li>In line with the D Plan (LMMP) and The six GMBs are contained suffici period.</li> <li>During the report between 20 to 4 sampled.</li> <li>During the report from 5.83 to 7.8</li> <li>Excluding major majority of analy (LOR). The groun reviewed to bett reflective of back</li> <li>In-ground emplacement October 2020. Monit emplacement of was p.xi]. Data interpreta shallow groundwater [06, p.xi].</li> </ul>	

#### EPBC2015/7478

#### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

0.25] states that "Where groundwater samples have vill be compared with neighbouring wells. These samples o determine if a statistically significant increase (SSI) in the monitored parameters has occurred", the DGMMP hat "After four sampling events since 2016, the results nical parameters are below limits of reporting. A further s are required before the approval holder will be in a analyse baseline data and establish site-based trigger eria based on a 95% confidence interval".

eparing this report a total of eight groundwater GMEs ted.

ter monitoring bores (GMBs) are located on-site. approximately half of these GMBs did not contain water porting period.

e DWER approved Leachate Monitoring Management and DGMMP, six GMBs are sampled during each GME. are located within a 1km radius of Cell/Pit 1 and ficient water to collect a sample during the reporting

porting period groundwater has been gauged at 40 meters below ground level (mbgl) from the GMBs

porting period the pH of groundwater samples ranged 7.86 (slightly acidic to slightly alkaline).

jor cations/anions and physiochemical parameters, the alytes are reported below laboratory limit of reporting oundwater sampling method is being continually better understand if trace detections above LOR are background conditions or caused by other means.

ement of waste is scheduled to occur at the Facility in onitoring of deep groundwater wells post in-ground vaste is scheduled to commence in November 2020 [06, etation and initial generation of site-based criteria for ter monitoring is scheduled to occur in September 2020

	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments
Ion No. Condition To exclude potential floodwaters from the site and to prevent the release of potentially contamina floodwaters to the environment, the approval holder must ensure that any surface water that enter or leaves the action area anount spread contaminants out of the action area. To meet this objective the approval holder must ensure that monitoring of the action's impacts is capable of detecting an contaminants before they can be transported out of the action area.	rs e	Evidence <sup>1</sup> Licence L9240/2020/1 (www.dwer.gov.au).         Works Approval W6243/2019/1 (www.dwer.gov.au).         Works Approval W6305/2019/1 (www.dwer.gov.au).         Works Approval W6308/2019/1 (www.dwer.gov.au).         [09] Tellus, Leachate Monitoring and Management Plan, Version E <sup>2</sup> , 7 May 2020, Ref: HS00-1760150200-49173.         [10] DWER, Letter, 2020, Sandy Ridge Facility Ministerial Statement 1078 Leachate Monitoring and Management Plan Approved, 14 May 2020, Ref: DWERDT280973; DWERT463.	Comments  Physical Infrastr The first waste of G July 2020. Tell of Table 1 (p.10) 2020 that requir  Temporary within the Retention H Temporary Stormwate temporary water away (b) Stormw area capab Waste Stor Temporary or solid wa Temporary Stormwate of capturin Waste Stor At the time of fi retention pond been commissic commenced at the by the end of Se Figure 2 (p.6) of by DWER specifi infrastructure at Column 2, Table 7 February 2020 and constructio including, but ne contaminated w waste and sludg column 2 of Tabl towards blind su storage areas ar which will be pu (IBCs) if full. Inte contents of the Table 5 (pp.24-2 against reference To mitigate pote measuring appre

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Version E being the first approved version of this plan (i.e. Version 0).

#### EPBC2015/7478

#### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

#### ructure

was accepted into temporary waste storage on lus has constructed the Facility to meet the requirements 0) of Licence L9240/2020/1 issued by DWER on 29 June ires the following concerning surface water management:

Waste Storage Area - "Sloped to allow surface water Temporary Waste Storage Area to drain to the Stormwater Pond".

Waste Storage Area Stormwater Drains – "(a) er diversion drain located on the eastern side of the waste storage area capable of diverting surface storm ay from the Temporary Waste Storage Area; and water drain located within the temporary waste storage ble of diverting surface storm water within the Temporary rage Area to the Stormwater Retention Pond".

y Waste Storage Area Earth Bund – "To contain any liquid aste that may discharge from waste containers within the Waste Storage Area"

er Retention Pond – "Total capacity of 3,926 m3, capable ng a 1 in 100 year 72-hour storm event from the Temporary rage Area".

first temporary waste acceptance only the stormwater l located adjacent to the temporary waste storage area had oned. Commissioning of main site infrastructure the start of August 2020 and is scheduled to be completed eptember 2020.

f Works Approval W6243/2019/1 issued on 20 April 2019 fies the civil earthworks design for drainage of the area.

e 2 (pp.9-17) of Works Approval W6308/2019/1 issued on 0 and amended 27 March 2020 by DWER states the design on requirements for the specific areas of the Facility not limited to the waste storage – east yard, PFAS waste storage area and the low-level radiation waste liquid ge storage yard. Drainage requirements are stated in ble 2 (pp.9-17). External areas are designed to drain umps or two stormwater retention ponds. Covered waste re designed to drain to internal blind concrete sumps umped out into 1,000 L Intermediate Bulk Containers ernal procedures will determine where and how the IBCs will be managed.

27), Schedule 2 of W6308/2019/1 provides specific works ced specifications and drawings for the Facility.

tential floodwaters entering the Facility a flood levee roximately 1 km by 11 m in width has been constructed to infrastructure area.

tormwater ponds to identify potential contaminants will accordance with a stormwater management procedure. At paring this report the procedure was in draft form and and approval prior to commencement of operations.

EPBC 2015/7478				
Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments
A.4	To ensure a nationally consistent approach to the environmental regulation of PFAS, the <b>approval holder</b> must implement the PFAS National Environmental Management Plan.	Not Applicable	-	The first waste was the last day of this containing material in December 2020.
				Operational activiti therefore, this requ
				The design of Facili requirements of the Facility commission time of preparing th
				Compliance Report PFAS National Envir
A.5	The <b>approval holder</b> must ensure waste emplacement is undertaken as described in the action description of this approval notice. The <b>approval holder</b> must not emplace waste by borehole disposal (commonly referred to as the BOSS method).	Not Applicable	-	The first waste was one day before the scheduled to occur
				Emplacement of wa BOSS method) is no
Part B – Standard	Administrative Conditions			
B.6	Notification of the date of commencement of the action The approval holder must notify the Department in writing of the date of commencement of the action within 10 business days after the date of commencement of the action.	Compliant	[11a] Tellus, Email, Tellus to DAWE, 2019, EPBC 2015/7478 Sandy Ridge Facility - commencement notification, 18 July 2019 @12:27pm.	On 18 July 2019 [11 Approvals Section t 2019. The Departm also dated 18 July 2
			<ul> <li>[11b] DAWE, Email, DAWE to Tellus, 2019, EPBC 2015/7478 Sandy Ridge Facility - commencement notification, 18 July 2019</li> <li>@2:31pm.</li> <li>[12] Letter, DAWE, 2019, Commencement of the Action – Sandy Ridge Project, WA (EPBC 2015/7478), Ref: 2015/7478, 20 August 2019.</li> </ul>	The Department fo commencement of that the Annual Con 7 July 2019 to 6 July submitted to the Do
B.7	Compliance records The approval holder must maintain accurate and complete compliance records.	Compliant	[13] AS/NZS ISO 14001:2016 Environmental Management Systems Certificate. Online Health, Safety & Environmental software (www.inxsoftware.com).	Tellus operates a m Environmental Mar use. The most recer conducted in April 2 certification. Tellus has impleme management softw environmental oblig tasks to be assigned environmental mor to real-time data ar Waste management
				waste management
B.8	If the <b>Department</b> makes a request in writing, the <b>approval holder</b> must provide electronic copies of <b>compliance records</b> to the <b>Department</b> within the timeframe specified in the request.	Not Applicable	-	There have been no electronic copies of not triggered within

#### EPBC2015/7478

#### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

vas accepted into temporary waste storage on 6 July 2020, is reporting period, and did not include any PFASrial. In-ground waste emplacement is scheduled to occur 20.

vities did not commence during the reporting period; equirement was not triggered.

cility infrastructure will facilitate compliance with certain the PFAS National Environmental Management Plan. ioning reports were in the process of being prepared at g this report.

ort No.2, 2020/2021 will report on implementation of the vironmental Management Plan.

vas accepted into temporary waste storage on 6 July 2020, he end of the reporting period. In-ground emplacement is ur in December 2020.

waste by borehole disposal (commonly referred to as the not currently planned for operational activities.

[11a] Tellus notified by email the Department's Post n that commencement of the action occurred on 7 July tment acknowledged the notification in a return email, y 2019 [11b].

formally responded to the notification of of the action in a letter dated 20 August 2019 [12], noting Compliance Report for the period of

July 2020 must be published by 3 September 2020, and Department within five business days of publication.

management system certified to AS/NZS ISO 14001:2016 *lanagement Systems – Requirements with guidance for* cent external audit of the management system was ril 2020 and recommended Tellus maintain their

mented an online health, safety and environment online ftware program to facilitate the management of bligations. The system allows for compliance records and ned to specific obligation conditions as well as nonitoring data to be managed, including, but not limited and chains of custody.

ent records are maintained using the company's online ent system.

no known requests from the Department concerning s of compliance records, therefore this requirement was thin the reporting period.

EPBC 2015/7478				
Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments
B.9	Annual compliance reporting The approval holder must prepare a compliance report for each 12-month period following the date of commencement of the action, or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Minister. The approval holder must:	Compliant	-	This is the first Con hence no report ha <u>Regulatory Informat</u>
B.9.a	<ul> <li>a) publish each compliance report on the website within 60 business days following the relevant 12-month period;</li> </ul>	Compliant	Sandy Ridge Facility Compliance Report No.1 2019/2020. Sandy Ridge Facility Regulatory Information page, Tellus website (www.tellusholdings.com).	This is the first Com hence no report ha <u>Information</u> page of The Compliance Re published to the <u>Sa</u> Tellus website with period.
B.9.b	<ul> <li>b) notify the Department by email that a compliance report has been published on the website within five business days of the date of publication;</li> </ul>	Compliant	Sandy Ridge Facility Compliance Report No.1 2019/2020. Sandy Ridge Facility Regulatory Information page, Tellus website (www.tellusholdings.com).	This is the first Con hence no report ha <u>Information</u> page of The Department w been published on publication. Eviden Report for the repo
B.9.c	c) keep all <b>compliance reports</b> publicly available on the <b>website</b> until this approval expires;	Compliant	Sandy Ridge Facility Compliance Report No.1 2019/2020. Sandy Ridge Facility Regulatory Information page, Tellus website (www.tellusholdings.com).	This is the first Con hence no report ha <u>Information</u> page of Compliance Report section of the Tellu
B.9.d	d) exclude or redact <b>sensitive ecological data</b> from compliance reports published on the <b>website</b> ; and	Not Applicable	Sandy Ridge Facility Compliance Report No.1 2019/2020.	The Sandy Ridge Fa include any sensitiv
B.9.e	e) where any <b>sensitive ecological data</b> has been excluded from the version published, submit the full <b>compliance report</b> to the <b>Department</b> within 5 <b>business days</b> of publication.	Not Applicable	Sandy Ridge Facility Compliance Report No.1 2019/2020.	The Sandy Ridge Fa include any sensitiv
B.10	Reporting non-compliance         The approval holder must notify the Department in writing of any: incident; non-compliance with the conditions; or non-compliance with the commitments made in plans. The notification must be given as soon as practicable, and no later than two business days after becoming aware of the incident or non-compliance. The notification must specify:         a)       the condition which is or may be in breach; and         b)       a short description of the incident and/or non-compliance.	Compliant	Refer to Condition A.1 for further details.	Other than the nor or non-compliance during the reportin Refer to Condition
B.11	<ul> <li>The approval holder must provide to the Department the details of any incident or non-compliance with the conditions or commitments made in plans as soon as practicable and no later than 10 business days after becoming aware of the incident or non-compliance, specifying:</li> <li>a) any corrective action or investigation which the approval holder has already taken or intends to take in the immediate future;</li> <li>b) the potential impacts of the incident or non-compliance; and</li> <li>c) the method and timing of any remedial action that will be undertaken by the approval holder.</li> </ul>	Compliant	Refer to Condition A.1 for further details.	Refer to Condition to DAWE for the clu development envel Other than the nor or non-compliance during the reportin
B.12	Independent audit The approval holder must ensure that independent audits of compliance with the conditions are conducted as requested in writing by the Minister.	Not Applicable	-	There has been no independent audit, the reporting perio

#### EPBC2015/7478

#### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

Compliance Report to be written for EPBC 2015/7478 t has yet been published to the Sandy Ridge Facility mation page of the Tellus website.

Compliance Report to be written for EPBC 2015/7478 t has been published to the Sandy Ridge Facility Regulatory of the Tellus website.

Report for the reporting period 2019 – 2020 will be Sandy Ridge Facility Regulatory Information page of the ithin 60 business days following the relevant 12-month

Compliance Report to be written for EPBC 2015/7478 t has been published to the Sandy Ridge Facility Regulatory of the Tellus website.

will be notified by email that the Compliance Report has on the website within five business days of the date of lence of the notification will be included in the Compliance eporting period 2020 – 2021.

Compliance Report to be written for EPBC 2015/7478 t has been published to the Sandy Ridge Facility Regulatory of the Tellus website.

orts will be publicly available on the Sandy Ridge Facility ellus website until EPBC 2015/7478 expires.

Facility Compliance Report No.1 2019/2020 does not sitive data ecological data that requires redacting.

Facility Compliance Report No.1 2019/2020 does not sitive data ecological data that requires redacting.

non-compliance noted in Condition A.1 no other incident nce with the requirements of EPBC 2015/7478 occurred rting period.

on A.1 for further details.

on A.1 for further details concerning details of notification clearing of 43m<sup>2</sup> of vegetation outside of the approved velope.

non-compliance noted in Condition A.1 no other incident nce with the requirements of EPBC 2015/7478 occurred rting period.

no known request from the Minister concerning an dit, therefore this requirement was not triggered within riod.

EPBC 2015/7478	EPBC 2015/7478					
Condition No.	Condition	Compliance Status	Evidence <sup>1</sup>	Comments		
B.13	<ul> <li>For each independent audit, the approval holder must:</li> <li>a) provide the name and qualifications of the independent auditor and the draft audit criteria to the Department;</li> <li>b) only commence the independent audit once the audit criteria have been approved in writing by the Department; and</li> <li>c) submit an audit report to the Department within the timeframe specified in the approved audit criteria.</li> </ul>	Not Applicable	-	Not Applicable (not		
B.14	The <b>approval holder</b> must publish the audit report on the <b>website</b> within 10 <b>business days</b> of receiving the <b>Department's</b> approval of the audit report and keep the audit report published on the <b>website</b> until the end date of this approval.	Not Applicable	-	Not Applicable (not		
B.15	Completion of the action Within 30 days after the completion of the action, the approval holder must notify the Department in writing and provide completion data.	Not Applicable	-	Not Applicable (not		

### EPBC2015/7478

### Sandy Ridge Compliance Table - 7 July 2019 / 6 July 2020

(not triggered).	
(not triggered).	
(not triggered).	